

RDFS Entailment

G. Falquet

Semantic web technologies

- RDF is intended for use as a base notation for a variety of extended notations such as RDFS, OWL, RIF, ... whose expressions can be encoded as RDF graphs which use a particular vocabulary with a specially defined meaning. [1]


```
# RDFS
:Pizza a rdfs:Class
:VegPizza rdfs:subClassOf :Pizza
# OWL
:VegPizza rdf:type owl:Class ;
            owl:equivalentClass [ rdf:type
                                owl:Restriction ;
                                owl:onProperty :hasTopping ;
                                owl:allValuesFrom :VegTopping
                            ]
```

1. <https://www.w3.org/TR/rdf11-mt/#entailment-rules-informative>

Semantics

- For each notation there is a notion of **interpretation**
 - associates IRIs and blank nodes to domain objects
 - associates literals to values in a datatype domain
 - associates the interpretation of properties to binary relations over domain objects (**extensions**)
- An interpretation a graph is *true* if it satisfies
 - some semantic conditions
 - e.g. the extension of the interpretation of rdfs:subClassOf is a transitive relation
 - some axiomatic triples

RDF Interpretations



A simple interpretation I is a structure consisting of:

an **interpretation domain** IR (set of resources)

a **set of properties** IP


an **extension mapping** IEXT

associates a binary relation over IR to each p in IP

an **IRI interpretation mapping** IS from IRIs to IR union IP

a **literals mapping** IL from typed literals to IR

RDF Interpretations





Interpretation (denotation) I of a ground graph (no blank nodes)

- if E is a **typed literal** then $I(E) = IL(E)$
 - non typed literals are interpreted as the string itself
- if E is an **IRI** then $I(E) = IS(E)$
- the interpretation of a **triple** $s \ p \ o$ is a value in $\{\text{true}, \text{false}\}$
$$I(s \ p \ o) = \text{true} \text{ if}$$
 - $I(p)$ is in IP
 - $(I(s), I(o))$ is in $IEXT(I(p))$

otherwise $I(s \ p \ o) = \text{false}$.
- if E is a ground RDF graph then $I(E) = \text{false}$ if $I(E') = \text{false}$ for some triple E' in E , otherwise $I(E) = \text{true}$.

RDF Interpretations




Graphs with blank nodes


Semantic condition for a graph E with blank nodes

- $I(E) = \text{true}$ if
 - there is a mapping A from the blank nodes of E to IR
 - I augmented with A is a true interpretation of E
- otherwise $I(E) = \text{false}$.

A true interpretation




Another choice for A




A graph may have several true interpretation


E



a true interpretation of E



F




also a true interpretation of F


General Notion of Entailment

A relation X between RDF graphs

Represents the notion of logical consequence



\downarrow
geo-entails



X -Entailment for a graph

A graph E **X -entails** a graph F

iff

Each true X -interpretation of E is also a true X -interpretation of F.

(X is a notation such as RDF, RDFS, OWL, ...)

= The usual notion of logical consequence

RDFS Interpretations - definitions


- $\text{ICEXT}(c) := \{ x : (x, c) \text{ is in } \text{IEXT}(\text{I}(\text{rdf:type})) \}$
- $\text{IC} := \text{ICEXT}(\text{I}(\text{rdfs:Class}))$
- $\text{LV} := \text{ICEXT}(\text{I}(\text{rdfs:Literal}))$
- $\text{ICEXT}(\text{I}(\text{rdfs:Resource})) = \text{IR}$
- $\text{ICEXT}(\text{I}(\text{rdf:langString})) := \{\text{I}(E) : E \text{ a language-tagged string}\}$

For a set D of datatype IRIs

- for every IRI t in D – {rdf:langString}, $\text{ICEXT}(\text{I}(t))$ is the value space of $\text{I}(t)$
 - the range of the lexical-to-value mapping of $\text{I}(t)$
- for every IRI t in D, $\text{I}(t)$ is in $\text{ICEXT}(\text{I}(\text{rdfs:Datatype}))$

A Theoretical Point

- The interpretation of a class is indirect
 - it is not a subset of IP
 - it is an element of IP that has an extension
- Reason: to be consistent with set theory
 - $c \text{ rdf:type rdfs:Class}$. $c \text{ rdf:type } c$ is legal RDF
 - a direct interpretation would lead to
 - $I(c) \in I(c)$ **X** forbidden in set theory




RDFS Interpretations – semantic conditions


- If $(c_1, c_2) \in \text{IEXT}(\text{I}(\text{rdfs:subClassOf}))$ then c_1 and $c_2 \in \text{IC}$ and $\text{ICEXT}(c_1) \subseteq \text{ICEXT}(c_2)$
- $\text{IEXT}(\text{I}(\text{rdfs:subClassOf}))$ is transitive and reflexive on IC
- If (p, q) is in $\text{IEXT}(\text{I}(\text{rdfs:subPropertyOf}))$ then p and $q \in \text{IP}$ and $\text{IEXT}(p) \subseteq \text{IEXT}(q)$
- $\text{IEXT}(\text{I}(\text{rdfs:subPropertyOf}))$ is transitive and reflexive on IC

RDFS Interpretations – semantic conditions


- If $(p, c) \in \text{IEXT}(\text{I}(\text{rdfs:domain}))$ and $(u, v) \in \text{IEXT}(p)$ then u is in $\text{ICEXT}(c)$
- If $(p, c) \in \text{IEXT}(\text{I}(\text{rdfs:range}))$ and $(u, v) \in \text{IEXT}(p)$ then $v \in \text{ICEXT}(c)$



true
interpretation



false
interpretation



RDFS interpretation – axiomatic triples


- An RDFS interpretation must satisfy a set of axiomatic triples
 - Each axiomatic triple must have a true interpretation

Some axiomatic triples


```
rdf:type rdfs:domain rdfs:Resource .  
rdfs:domain rdfs:domain rdf:Property .  
rdfs:range rdfs:domain rdf:Property .  
rdfs:subPropertyOf rdfs:domain rdf:Property .  
rdfs:subClassOf rdfs:domain rdfs:Class .  
  
rdf:first rdfs:domain rdf:List .  
rdf:rest rdfs:domain rdf:List .  
rdfs:seeAlso rdfs:domain rdfs:Resource .  
rdfs:isDefinedBy rdfs:domain rdfs:Resource .  
rdfs:comment rdfs:domain rdfs:Resource .  
rdfs:label rdfs:domain rdfs:Resource .  
rdf:value rdfs:domain rdfs:Resource .  
  
rdf:type rdfs:range rdfs:Class .
```

RDFS-Entailments

A




B



Any true interpretation of A
is also a true interpretation of B
and a true interpretation of C



C



Exercises

1. find 3 different true RDF interpretations G with $IR = \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4\}$

$G = :a :p :b . :b :q :c$

2. find a true RDF interpretation of G that is a false RDFS interpretation of G

$G = :a \text{ rdfs:subClassOf } :b . :w \text{ rdf:type } :a .$

3. prove that the graph

$G = @prefix a : <\text{http://a.a}> .$
 $a \text{ rdfs:subClassOf } :b . :w \text{ rdf:type } :a . :w :p :z . :p \text{ rdfs:range } :a .$

RDFS-entails

$G' = G \cup :z \text{ rdf:type } a . :w \text{ rdf:type } :b . :z \text{ rdf:type } b .$

4. prove that G does not entail $G'' = G \cup :a \text{ rdf:type } :b$

Computing RDFS-Entailment

RDFS entailment can be computed by

1. adding the axiomatic triples to the graph
2. applying [inference patterns](#)

Inference patterns (rules)

	If S contains:	then S RDFS entails recognizing D:
rdfs1	any IRI t in D	t rdf:type rdfs:Datatype .
rdfs2	p rdfs:domain x . y p z .	y rdf:type x .
rdfs3	p rdfs:range x . y p z .	z rdf:type x .
rdfs4a	x p y .	x rdf:type rdfs:Resource .
rdfs4b	x p y.	y rdf:type rdfs:Resource .
rdfs5	x rdfs:subPropertyOf y . y rdfs:subPropertyOf z .	x rdfs:subPropertyOf z . (transitivity)
rdfs6	x rdf:type rdf:Property .	x rdfs:subPropertyOf x . (reflexivity)

(cont)

	If S contains:	then S RDFS entails recognizing D:
rdfs6	x rdf:type rdf:Property .	x rdfs:subPropertyOf x . (reflexivity)
rdfs7	p rdfs:subPropertyOf q . x p y .	x q y .
rdfs8	x rdf:type rdfs:Class .	x rdfs:subClassOf rdfs:Resource .
rdfs9	x rdfs:subClassOf y . z rdf:type x .	z rdf:type y .
rdfs10	x rdf:type rdfs:Class .	x rdfs:subClassOf x . (reflexivity)
rdfs11	x rdfs:subClassOf y . y rdfs:subClassOf z .	x rdfs:subClassOf z . (transitivity)
rdfs12	x rdf:type rdfs:ContainerMembershipProperty .	x rdfs:subPropertyOf rdfs:member .
rdfs13	x rdf:type rdfs:Datatype .	x rdfs:subClassOf rdfs:Literal .

Example

1. :q rdfs:range :d .
2. :p rdfs:subPropertyOf :q .
3. :d rdfs:subClassOf e .
4. :a :p :b

RDFS Entails

5. :a :q :b by 4. and 2. and rdfs7
6. :b rdf:type :d by 5. and 1. and rdfs3
7. :b rdf:type :e by 6. and 3. and rdfs9

The rules are consistent

If G' is inferred by applying the rules to G

then G' is RDFS-entailed by G

The rules are not complete

```
:p rdfs:subPropertyOf _:b .  
_:b rdfs:domain :c .  
:d :p :e .  
entails  
:d rdf:type :c .
```

But cannot be obtained by applying the rules

rdfs7 would produce

:d _:b :e

which is not legal in RDF (blanks not allowed as predicates)

The rules become complete on generalized RDF graphs with

- blanks allowed as predicates
- literals allowed as subjects

Semantic web tools that compute entailments

- Triple stores
 - may automatically generate the entailed triples when new triples are added
 - and retract them when triples are removed
 - the entailment regime is usually selected at repository creation
- Reasoners
 - tools that perform entailment (or other reasoning tasks) on existing graphs
 - do not automatically add the entailed triples to the graph
- SPARQL query engines
 - either make use of the entailed triples during the querying process
 - or call a reasoner before (or while) executing queries


Entailment and Linked Data

- Other graphs may contain RDF triples that could be used in entailments
 - There is not "import" statement in RDF
 - There is no automatic import of triples/graphs related to the used vocabularies
- ⇒ Triples to use in reasoning must be copied into the working graph

My Graph

```
@prefix time: http://www.w3.org/2006/time#.  
...  
:worldCup19 time:hasBeginning :t1  
...  
...  
...
```

```
...  
time:TemporalEntity a rdfs:Class .  
...  
time:hasBeginning  
    rdfs:domain time:TemporalEntity ;  
    rdfs:range time:Instant .  
...
```



Time Graph

Summary

- The semantics of RDF is defined by graph interpretations
- Interpretations are used to define the notion of entailment (logical consequence)
- RDFS interpretations are RDF interpretations with additional constraints
- RDFS entailment can be computed by applying inference rules
- SW tools perform some form of entailment
 - but they do not import statements about the used vocabulary